

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87977
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship Between Poor Quality Sleep During Ramadan and Academic Performance Among Students in Saudi Arabia.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The title says “relationship”; however, no correlation table is present. Title needs to be modified according to the cross-sectional design.</p> <p>Please use past tense in the abstract and throughout the paper.</p> <p>At the end of the introduction, rationalize the study. Why was this study carried out? What difference it could make? How it could be implied socially?</p> <p>In method, give more details about the PSQI. Discuss its scoring criteria and psychometric properties.</p> <p>Explain why chi-square test was used. Why t-test or ANOVA was not used?</p> <p>Use ANOVA for establishing the variations between the four categories of subjective sleep quality in regard to academic performance.</p> <p>Explain how academic performance was calculated. How the students were able to quantify the academic performance of Ramadan alone?</p> <p>Focus more on the suggestions proposed and rationalize the suggestions by supporting them with similar examples from other countries. How Muslim students from other countries cope up with this problem.</p>	<div>We've made the corrections you requested. We appreciate your valuable comments, which enhanced the value of our paper. We hope you accept our article and agree to publish it in your journal.</div>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	