

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87958
Title of the Manuscript:	The Application of rapid rehabilitation of surgical concept combined with psychological care in preoperative care of urological surgery patients
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>THE TITLE have give the information about the research, but its too long, please revise</p> <p>ABSTRACT The abstract was complete and was explaining the article in a comprehensive, pleade add the purpose, discussion and recommendation fore make more complete.</p> <p>BACKGROUND The background has been systematically described. The author has explained why the matter needs to be studied. Please add information on how this research differs from the previous research, Please add the reason why the subject is patient with urological surgery? What the special is, add the purpose the research</p> <p>METHOD Please explain the study design, including samples, sample collecting procedures, instrumen, data collection, data analysis, and ethical clearance. Please describe why you choose the research design.</p> <p>RESULT The result is so clear, the findings explain all that was required for research and are presented with good.</p> <p>DISCUSSION Please don't re-write statistical results, but explain about finding, theory and what authhor's opinion</p> <p>CONCLUSION Plese explain the conclusion and recomendation</p>	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	