

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87541
Title of the Manuscript:	Lepidium Sativum seed mucilage: Development of a systematic Extraction and Isolation process with maximum yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Abstract should be rephrased in results section. Solvents in trials not mentioned and acetone in only one statement used Introduction informative Materials and methods: 1-The extraction modified method should be in one form, paragraph or diagram not both 2- Parameter mentioned for the first time should be in full name followed by symbol  Results:  1-How can we describe table 6 after table 7?  Figures and tables should be mentioned in the paragraph describing it at the mean time figures 7 and 8 represented without any description why?  Discussion  Poor in references and should be rephrased	Modification has been done as per suggestion and included in the revised manuscript.  <b>Materials and methods</b> 1. The diagram for modified method was removed and the paragraph was explained in details. 2. Modification has been done as per suggestion and included in the revised manuscript.  <b>Results:</b>  1. We are in agreement with reviewer's suggestion. The sentence was modified in the revised manuscript.  2. Care has been taken to justify the figures and tables. Appropriate explanation has been provided for each table and graph.  <b>Discussion.</b>  Discussion was modified as per suggestion and included in the revised manuscript.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	