

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_87459
Title of the Manuscript:	TO ASSESS THE ROLE OF NIMESULIDE IN THE TREATMENT OF COVID-19 INFECTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Typographical and spacing errors should be DULY corrected</p> <p>Methodology Despite that this was an uncontrolled longitudinal study, and criteria for inclusion as a population sample was stated; the study failed to specify reason(s) or give justification for the '66' eventually sampled. Could it be it was done based on 'convenience' or 'judgmental', hence purposive sampling technique...? In the same vein, reason(s) for settling with 'consecutive sampling technique' was not stated thus remained questionable? The references should be correctly structured using the right APA style</p>	<p>Typographical and spacing errors all corrected.</p> <p>Dear Reviewer, Sampling technique changed to "purposive sampling technique". This is a pilot study and thus a large sample was not possible as explained in the manuscript limitation.</p> <p>References all corrected.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	"Nimesulide" is a noun and as a name of a drug, it should therefore reflect in that manner in the study. There should be proper pagination of the manuscript	Nimesulide name all corrected with "N" capitalized.
Optional/General comments	<p>COVID 19 is still a serious issue of concern to the world at large. This study should flesh out the introduction ANALYTICALLY & CRITICALLY to address the Corona virus as a disease which is not to be trivialised. Some logical questions of concern which are pertinent to this very research and would serve as concrete background to the study are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why the serious search for drug/ vaccine? • What is the death rate, globally with regard to Corona virus? • What has been the failure/ solutions so far and its effect? • With your "Nimesulide" solution/ suggestion, what is the future of human race as regard the life threatening disease called "COVID"? • ...etc. <p>Therefore, there should be SUGGESTION(S) FOR FURTHER STUDIES after Conclusion.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No