

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86845
Title of the Manuscript:	ELECTROMYOGRAPHIC FINDINGS IN GUILLAIN-BARRÉ SYNDROME PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word “Neuromedicine” may be as “the Neuromedicine”; “from 1990” as “in 1990”; “Age” as “The age”; “with mean” as “with a mean”; “63% patients” as “63% of patients”; “37% patients” as “37% of patients”; “Frequency” as “The frequency”; “73% cases” as “73% of cases”; “14% cases” as “in 14% of cases”; “12% cases” as “12% of cases”; “1% case” as “in 1% of case”; “of extremities” as “in extremities”; “few hours or few” as “a few hours or a few”; “of symptoms” as “symptoms”; “from 1990” as “in 1990”; “Motor” as “A motor”; “negative” as “a negative”; “Patient's” as “The patient's”; “kept” as “kept at”; “63% patients” as “63% of patients”; “37% patients were females” as “37% of patients were female”; “73% cases” as “73% of cases”; “14% cases” as “14% of cases”; “12% cases” as “12% of cases”; “1% case” as “1% of case”; “found commonly” as “was found commonly”; “3rd and” as “in 3rd and”; “we have” as “we”; “International” as “the International”; “subtypes came” as “subtypes that came”; “had relative” as “had a relative”; “comparatively” as “a comparatively”; “Southern” as “the Southern”; “personal” as “the personal”. The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proofread the text. For example, the word “guillain” may be as “Guillain”; “cross sectional” as “cross-sectional”; “years .” as “years.”; “females.” as “female.”; “demylinating” as “demyelinating”; “xonal” as “axonal”; “KEY WORDS :” as “KEYWORDS:”; “GBS ,” as “GBS,”; “cross react” as “cross-reacts”; “symtoms” as “symptoms”; “pediatrics” as “pediatric”; “predominat” as “predominant”; “according tothe” as “according to the”; “recorded of” as “recorded off”; “P value” as “P-value”; “electrphysiological” as “electrophysiological”; “use products” as “used products”; “REFERENCES” as “REFERENCES”. The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>3. There is no statistical analysis is done to prove the investigation. Without statistical analysis it is very difficult to interpret the findings. Therefore, it has been strongly recommended to have statistical analysis under separate heading since the authors used p-value in the present investigation.</p>	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, Guillian-Barre syndrome (GBS), NCV, CSF etc.). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears in both the abstract and in the remaining part of the manuscript and it should be followed by only the first letter of the genus (For example, <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> when the first time appears and followed by <i>C. jejuni</i>, etc.). And the genus and species used in the manuscript should be italic (For example, <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>, <i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i>, and <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>).</p>	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The authors have put effort to evaluate the “ELECTROMYOGRAPHIC FINDINGS IN GUILLAIN-BARRÉ SYNDROME PATIENTS”. The authors describe the work undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MAJOR REVISIONS are carried out.	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Addressed</p>	