

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86452
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical tools used for characterization and development of Minitablets: A Verapamil Hydrochloride Case Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	For easy flow and understanding of the manuscript, the authors should separate the results from the discussion. Authors should be consistence in either using sentence case or title case depending on the journal format Level of significant should be indicated were appropriate e.g in figure 4 you said no significant change in the melting point of VH was observed The full meaning of the functional group should be written as footnote in Table 2 References are not in a single format/style. Authors should alien their reference with the journal format	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Accepted your comment and modified the manuscript accordingly- Accepted and corrected the same.- Accepted, the level of significant is minute. Hence the change in the melting point is very tiny.- Accepted and updated in manuscript- Accepted and updated.
Minor REVISION comments	All abbreviation used for the first time should be written in full Conclusion appears like a summary. Most point could have better merged with the discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Accepted and updated the same in manuscript- Accepted, modified the discussion and conclusion in the manuscript
Optional/General comments	The finding of the research is a welcome development and a credit to knowledge. I salute the courage of the authors in prosecuting this research work	Thanks , Its my pleasure

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No