

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86321
Title of the Manuscript:	SACCADIC AS WELL AS MANUAL REACTION TIMES TO TARGETS GIVEN TO THE AMBLYOPIC EYE ARE FASTER THAN THOSE OF THE OPPOSITE EYE OR NORMAL EYES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors mentioned Study 1 and experiment 3. Could the authors explain what are these Study and experiment and also where is Study/experiment 2?</p> <p>Only special will understand the acronyms. The authors should write a list of the acronyms and spell them out, in addition of spelling out the entire words the first time they are using it.</p> <p>The tables don’t have legend in the table, which make their reading very difficult.</p> <p>How many patients were recruited in this study?</p>	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	<p>All latin words must be written in italic.</p> <p>All the words must be spelled out the first time they are used.</p>	Noted
Optional/General comments	<p>The subject is very interesting, but the quality of the manuscript is poor and improving the presentation of the manuscript will help the understand of the subject and of the data.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	