

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86321
Title of the Manuscript:	SACCADIC AS WELL AS MANUAL REACTION TIMES TO TARGETS GIVEN TO THE AMBLYOPIC EYE ARE FASTER THAN THOSE OF THE OPPOSITE EYE OR NORMAL EYES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract, I'm assuming that RTs stands for Reaction Times (or, I may be wrong). if this is true, then, for the first appearance, it should be written in full with the abbreviaton in a bracket. Then subsequently, the abbreviation only, can be used.</p> <p>secondly, the conclusion included in the abstract should be part of the results. Please, rephrase that conclusion.</p>	Revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	None.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This work is beautifully presented and self-explanatory. kudos to the writer.	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	