

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_86004
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Docking Analysis of Bacoside A with selected Signalling factors Involved in Glioblastoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No compulsory revisions are required	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The article is original and display an innovation by using recent research techniques.</li><li>The title informative and relevant</li><li>References are relevant, recent, referenced correctly and appropriate key studies are included.</li><li>The research question is properly outlined.</li><li>All the study methods are reliable, valid and reproducible</li><li>The data are presented in an appropriate way in the form of tables and figures. Also, definite unites, rounding and numbers are utilized. Titles, columns and rows are labelled in a clear correct way.</li><li>I have found the text in result section added to the data presented. Also, statistically significant results are presented clearly. Practically meaningful results are understood clearly.</li><li>The results are discussed from multiple angles and placed into context.</li><li>The conclusions give a clear answer of the study aim. The authors give a clear conclusions supported by results and references. Also, the study limitations give opportunities for future research.</li><li>I have found the study design answered the aim appropriately and added new information.</li></ul>	Revision amended  Correction made  Revised  Suggested revisions made  Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	