

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85466
Title of the Manuscript:	PRNIOSOMES: NON-IONIC SURFACTANT COATED VESICULAR CARRIER SYSTEMS WITH EFFECTIVE DRUG TARGETING
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The authors reported a review of the literature regarding non-ionic surfactant coated vesicular system with effective drug targeting with other applications in the field of medical and pharmaceutical sciences. The manuscript is organized well, however some major concerns should be addressed before its acceptance:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language is very poor please check the whole manuscript grammatically and run spellcheck. 2. The headings of level 1 and level 2 are not uniform in the context of numbering. 3. What are the applications of nanofluids in drug delivery system? 4. What is role of surfactant in the nanofluids? 5. In Table 1, correct "SL" as "Sr". 6. What is the difference between Slurry and Spray coating method? Which one is more efficient? 7. There are so many blank lines after each paragraph please remove extra space. 8. In the present time, induction of nanofluids in biomedical engineering and other medical sciences and drug delivery systems attained much interest of the researchers and scientists. Thus the authors should update the introduction with some recent studies in this regard doi.org/10.3390/molecules25081777; doi.org/10.3390/molecules25081896; doi.org/10.1155/2020/2475831; doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-07045-2 9. Conclusions should be revised. 10. Reference list is not uniform please add missing information and check the list carefully. <p>Acceptance of the article is subject to the responses against each above comments.</p>	<p>Revision amended</p> <p>Correction made</p> <p>Done revision</p> <p>Corrected</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	N/A	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	