

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85262
Title of the Manuscript:	REGULATORY SHIFT IN CHINA: EVOLUTION OF NMPA
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>ABSTRACT:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Abbreviation of NMPA should be mentioned.</li><li>2. The abstract should be rephrased covering the following headings background, objective, previous studies performed and uniqueness of the study followed by aim should be mentioned in the same chronologic order.</li><li>3. Kindly check for repetitive words in the sentence highlighted.(China, Chinese)</li><li>4. Rephrasing of the last highlighted sentence is required.</li><li>5. The overall abstract should be restructured based on the reviewer's comments.</li></ol> <b>INTRODUCTION</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Detailed explanation of the study by describing the history, what is NMPA, how has been evolved and its use should be mentrioned.</li><li>2. The aim of the study should be added at the last paragraph of introduction.</li></ol> <b>DISCUSSION</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Rephrasing of the entire discussion by explanation of tables, figures and graphs and categorising the part into understandable subheadings is required.</li></ol> <b>CONCLUSION</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Conclusion should be short in single paragraph describing the aim what the article provides and the future directions of the study.</li></ol>	Yes
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The article should be rephrased checking for grammatical errors, sentence formation errors.	Yes
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The article is averagely written and requires major revision	Yes

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No