

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85239
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESS THE MEAN CHANGE IN OUTCOMES OF SILDENAFIL IN THE TREATMENT OF LOWER URINARY TRACT SYMPTOMS AND ERECTILE DYSFUNCTION DUE TO BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalprijournal.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The relationship between LUTS, CVD diseases, ED, Age and multiple drugs ingestion should come under same paragraph.</p> <p>Rationale of the use of PDE5I should be mentioned in the abstract and the main paper as well as research questions. However Sildenafil citrate is weak smooth muscle relaxant as compared to alpha adrenergic blockers like Tamsulosine. The results were not organized with repetition of irrelevant data some of which were not discussed like age grouping and social stratification. Language review needed.</p>	Noted
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>in Methodology .... Sildenafil... daily dose of . was used for 10 weeks...</p> <p>Reduce the text in results and refer to tables... and figures...</p>	Ok
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	make the discussion more robust	Done

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	