

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85092
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of common congenital anomalies among neonates in tertiary care hospital: A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">1- Poor data presentation, and the data are not well-controlled.2- The most critical data that reflect the study title were not available in the results section! (The author/s indicated that he/they mentioned the frequency of anomalies in table2, but no actual detailed data regarding the similar issue is available in table2)!3- The author/s did not provide the methods that have been used to diagnose these anomalies.4- The discussion has been based on unavailable data!5- The conclusion is not supported by the data discussed inside the manuscript.6- See a detailed comment through the attached manuscript.	One more table is added now for data presentation Conclusion is rewritten noe
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Reformatting, rephrasing and grammar editing were needed.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The reliability of this study is questionable?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	