

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85090
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Bite Opening on Masseter and Geniohyoid Muscles : A Randomised Clinical Trial
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><b>-The article includes lingual errata e.g.</b> “14 subjects were allocated as a single group who were traated with fixed anterior bite plane (ABT)”. 3<sup>rd</sup> Page, Materials and Methods.</p> <p><b>- The method of</b> “ultrasound scanning is the most accurate and rapid method for measuring the thickness of superficial muscles” <b>is the</b> “geniohyoid muscle” <b>considered a</b> “superficial muscle”?</p>	<p>Respected Reviewer,</p> <p>Thank you for giving your valuable feedback. I agree that there were few mistakes in the article and I have corrected according to the instructions that was made. I request you to kindly review the corrected manuscript. Thank you so much</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article needs a minor revision.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p><b>“The right masseter muscle was thicker than that of the left in both the groups” this is a debatable issue that should have been explained and clarified more!</b></p>	<p>I have added supporting articles for more clarification.</p>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No ethical issues are there in this manuscript.</p>	<p>I agree and the corrected manuscript is highlighted</p>