

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85082
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on Nanotherapeutic drug synthesized by way of a microbial pathway targeting <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript body is well written.	
Minor REVISION comments	Some minor comments below. 1. Italicized microorganisms' name on keyword section. 2. In figure 1, the same colour should be used for showing the synthesis pathway after reduction process to better understand the process. 3. In figure 2, reshape the pathway is recommended avoiding the confusion.	Reviewer# 2 Comment 1: Italicized microorganisms' name on keyword section. Response 1: We have changed the microorganism in <i>Italicized format</i> . Comment 2: In figure 1, the same colour should be used for showing the synthesis pathway after reduction process to better understand the process. Response 2: We have modified figure 1 part according to guidelines. Comment 3: In figure 2, reshape the pathway is recommended avoiding the confusion. Response 3: We have modified figure 2 part according to suggestion.
Optional/General comments	No comment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	