

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85082
Title of the Manuscript:	Nanotherapeutic drug synthesized by way of a microbial pathway targeting Staphylococcus aureus
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Edit the manuscript as per the guidelines given in the below link http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors For example ; The reference style is not consistent.	We are grateful for critical inputs and suggestions Reviewer# 1 Comment 1: Edit the manuscript as per the guidelines given in the below link http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors For example; the reference style is not consistent. Response 1: We have modified
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Use lower case letters for the words that are not at the start of a sentence.• Insert reference numbers in the manuscript.• Mention <i>S. aureus</i> name in italics.	Comment 2: Use lower case letters for the words that are not at the start of a sentence. Response 2: We have changes according to author's guidelines Comment 3: Insert reference numbers in the manuscript. Response 3: We have inserted reference numbers in the manuscript. Comment 4: Mention <i>S. aureus</i> name in italics. Response 4: We have corrected the Italian name <i>S. aureus</i>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good work. This review paper reflects the authors' efforts (hard work).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	