

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85065
Title of the Manuscript:	Antiatherosclerotic activity of methanolic extract of <i>Woodfordia fruticosa</i> flowers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is accepted and the author needs to clarify the following: 1. Why he did ethyl acetate extraction after extraction with methanol without using it? 2. The author should write the name of the reference standard not the abbreviation only FF65? 3. The author did not mention the result of the Preliminary acute toxicity test. 4. In page 25, the last paragraph in part discussion; the author mentioned that he separated ellagic acid and 2-(3,5-dihydroxyphenyl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-chromene-3,5,7-triol although at the manuscript he isolated only ellagic acid.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It was by mistake placed which we have removed2. FF65 is a standard cardioprotective product called as Fruitflow3. The authors have mentioned the result of the Preliminary acute toxicity test.4. In page 25, the last paragraph in part discussion 2-(3,5-dihydroxyphenyl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-chromene-3,5,7-triol was placed by mistake in the manuscript which is removed now manuscript he isolated only ellagic acid.
Minor REVISION comments	There are some grammer mistakes.	All grammatical mistakes have been corrected
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	