

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_85007
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMULATION DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF COLON TARGETING NANOSPONGES OF DEFLAZACORT USING BOX BEHNKEN DESIGN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The abstract is too lengthy, so concise the abstract.2. In the abstract, the second sentence starts with "IBD." Is it Inflammatory Bowel Disease? So please expand when it is coming for the first time3. In abstract ...what is this PMMA and PVA means. Expand this.4. In the abstract, .. The developed nanosponge showed nearly spherical in shape and spongy in nature with particle size 45 nm and % drug entrapment of 73.42%.... Correct this sentence.5. Change Polymyalgia rheumatic to Polymyalgia rheumatism6. Drug-resistant epilepsy of childhood—Use the correct form7. Method of preparation..... last para change ...microsponges to nanosponges8. Characterization of nanosponges- Entrapment efficiency-The shocked solution was centrifuged—Change this to stock solution9. Scanning electron microscopy (IISER, Bhopal) Include the make, model and specifications of the instrument.10. It was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 30 min and the ---- Here correct the unit, ie. 10,000 g. Some were in RPM and some were in g. Please make it uniform.11. colonic media containing rabbit caecal content---here mention the source.12. In references, some additional numbers are there. So, remove it during the revision.	Comment accepted and considered
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Grammar and sentence errors were noticed in a few places. So please do the English corrections once more.	Grammatical mistakes are rectified
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study objective is clear and the manuscript is recommendable for publication after the minor correction mentioned above.	Okay

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	