

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84908
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR DOCKING AND MOLECULAR DYNAMIC STUDIES, SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERISATION OF THIAZOLIDINE-4-ONE DERIVATIVES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Comments: 1- The abstract should be separated into background, aims, conclusions. There are no aims. 2- You must leave a space at the beginning of each paragraph. 3- Add the modern references to the all research body with more clarification. 4- Materials and Methods require more clarification details with addation references. 5- Add the names of the figures under the figures directly . 6- Discussion is not enough. 7- Split the Discussion from Conclusions. 8-There are no Conclusions , Recommendations and Acknowledgments. 9- Modern references are very few. I suggest adding more recent references.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The abstract was separated in to background, aim conclusion and procedure used.2. A space was left at beginning of each paragraph3. Modern references are added extra in the reference section and selected as yellow4. Materials and methods has been described in a detailed manner.5. The names of the figures are directly written in the bottom of the figure so as to differentiate the figures easily6. Dicussion is elaborated.7. The discussion and conclusions are being splitted separately8. Conclusions and Acknowledgements are added9. Extra references are also added
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Non	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	<u>NIL</u>