

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84814
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSOCIATION OF INFLAMMATORY MARKERS WITH METABOLIC SYNDROME AMONG PRE & POST-MENOPAUSAL WOMEN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the abstract, you have mentioned fibrinogen levels and in the results you have shown fibrinogen levels and then in the conclusion of the abstract and the article, it has been mentioned as Prothrombin. Prothrombin means what?? Is it Prothrombin time ?? Or is it Fibrinogen levels??2. The first marker is CRP. What is the second marker? Prothrombin time or Fibrinogen?3. CRP and fibrinogen levels increased with number of metabolic abnormalities has been mentioned by the authors but this has not been shown in the results. The authors should show that as the CRP and fibrinogen levels, the patient has more components of the metabolic syndrome or the components that the patients have worsen like glucose control, ldl, hdl levels etc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- The article is rephrased to decrease the plagiarism. The plagiarism is now below 20% as required.2- The result and discussion sections are re-written.3- It is fibrinogen. The corrections have been made.4- The results have been modified.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the exclusion criteria, mention oral contraceptives as a different point.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Changed done
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	