

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84459
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PHYSIOLOGICAL ASPECT OF BACKBENDING ASANA'S
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Fix the writing according to template of journal that has been provided; maybe the author should include references in each statement according to the bibliography (Harvard or vancouver) There was no method, result, time and period when this research held in the abstract. Or is this article just only review from the other article? I think the explanation/discussion about this article was very less. There was no explanation about each figure.	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general, this article needs a lot of improvement.	Okay

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	