

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84450
Title of the Manuscript:	FREQUENCY OF AIDS DEFINING OPPORTUNISTIC INFECTIONS IN HOSPITALIZED HIV INFECTED PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is well written. Appreciate the authors for taking up such study in HIV patients. However, there are major concerns about the study.</p> <p>The manuscript is lacking graphs or pie diagrams or pictorial representations needed to explain the different kind of infections and the percentage of their presence. Please include and send the manuscript again.</p>	<p>The study is simple cross sectional and had 154 sample size. The frequency and percentage of every opportunistic infection is given in the tabular form which is easier to understand. The graphs will leads to unnecessary duplication within the manuscript as already tables are clear to shown demographical and opportunistic data.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) The abbreviated full forms are repeated again and again all over the manuscript.</li><li>2) The author did not take care of singular and plural. For example author is working on a cohort of 'patients" and it is always mentioned "patient'.</li><li>3) Kindly take care of English.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) The abbreviation issue resoled</li><li>2) The manuscript is rephrased</li><li>3) The manuscript corrected grammatically</li></ol>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>1) <b>AIDS in Pakistan was identified in late 80s. There are several reports and extensive studies on clinical profiling and opportunistic infections in HIV hospitalized patients are presented in different regions of Pakistan.</b> <b>For example:</b> Baqi S, Kayani N, Khan JA. Epidemiology and clinical profile of HIV/AIDS in Pakistan. Trop Doct. 1999 Jul;29(3):144-8. doi: 10.1177/004947559902900307. PMID: 10448236. Case report on AN AIDS PATIENT WITH MULTIPLE OPPORTUNISTIC INFECTIONS Talha Mahmud.</p> <p>So, I feel in 2022 after 4 decades of invention of HIV this kind of work lacks novelty. Some work on HIV patients in latency could've been worked out.</p>	<p>1) The reflection of previous work always provides the new data which can determine the actual burden / prevalence of disease in specific region. The data vary with time and reporting it timely is an appropriate step in management and prevention of the disease.</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	