

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84449
Title of the Manuscript:	FREQUENCY OF DIFFERENT CLINICAL PRESENTATIONS OF SYSTEMIC LUPUS ERYTHEMATOSUS IN TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What were the reasons for exclusion criteria ?2. Was ANA done in all patients? And pattern of ANA?3. Was anti ds-DNA test done ?4. Any relation to profession or outdoor exposure ?	Patients not willing to give written consent (ethical justification), patients with malignancies (due to association of paraneoplastic syndrome and nutritional deficiencies) and those who have drug induced lupus (due to non existence of proper clinical history). The dsDNA was preferred, although all those patients have been taken who previously diagnosed / known cases of SLE by different Rheumatologists and healthcare providers. The patients had already previously anti-dsDNA report upon which diagnosed was made. The demographical profile was preferred to explore the clinical presentation of SLE in this simple observational (cross-sectional study).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	