

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84433
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES (KAP) ON DIABETIC FOOT CARE AMONG PATIENTS WITH DIABETES IN DISTRICT BAHAWALPUR, PAKISTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Unfortunately, the necessity of study is not well stated in the introduction. For example, nothing is said about knowledge, practice and attitude. Please state the necessity of study more clearly. So what about the attitude questionnaire? (In Sampling Procedure) Please write the mean age.(In Results) Please write the mean of the knowledge score. (In Results) Please write the mean of the attitude score. (In Results) Please write the mean of the practice score. (In Results) The discussion should be written based on the goals and results. A comparison of the results of the present study with the results of other studies should be written. The whole discussion needs to be fundamentally edited. Conclusions should also be written based on the main results of the study. What did you do to reduce the effect of this limitation? Other comments are expressed in the article file.	Amended revision Correction made Done Revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please indicate the number of people with diabetes and the number of people with diabetic foot in Pakistan. How was the foot lesion measured?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	