

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84384
Title of the Manuscript:	Sub-acute toxicity and safety study of Mecca Myrrh (Commiphora opobalsamum) by experimental histological analysis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✚ The manuscript is fall in the scope and aims of JPRI✚ The quality and the scientific soundness of the manuscript is to some extent okay✚ All correction should be done before start of publication✚ More than one time I searched for the total numbers of the tested animals and the author did not mention it frankly -----Why ?????✚ Results should be rewrite again as the histology showing pathological changes and according to this --abstract and conclusion should be change✚ The✚ Reference lists also should rewrite as Zhao YL ,etal., ---NOT write like this ---At least should write 6 authors +etal., to the last ones (Repeat)✚ Remove the links in reference list as the volume (V) ,Issue number and page numbers available (Enough)✚ Some journal names written appreciated ,while others completed(should be same style)✚ Add methanolic extract and experimental analysis to the key words✚ Add colored plan to the studying area ---better✚ Add reference to the description of plant and its extract✚ Add refrence for histological observation✚ Add design instead of methods after Experimental✚ Correct the histological changes according the paper	<div>Amended revision</div> <div>Corrected</div> <div>Done</div> <div>Revised</div> <div>Done</div>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	