

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_84058
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of levofloxacin combinations on CRP decrease in SARS CoV-2 pneumonia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalprijournal.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is properly structured and is in concordance with the specific requirements of an original study. On the other hand the article is in serious need of revision in terms of the clarity and coherence of the text. Numerous sentences are confusing and are not able to relay a clear and explicit message. We are proposing an in-depth review of the work in terms of grammar and wording in English, as well as data processing and editing.	Thank you. The necessary revision was made for the article. Confusing sentences have been reviewed. The article has been updated.
Minor REVISION comments	Serious revision should be considered regarding grammar use. The text editing segment is in need of minor revision.	Yes, I am sorry. In terms of language, it was seen that the sentences could not be expressed fully and clearly in English. For this reason, it has been revised over and over in terms of grammar. Reviewed and edited by professional Translator.
Optional/General comments	Following the evaluation of the bibliographic list, we recommend a review of compliance with the requirements for its presentation and drafting.	The draft and presentation of the article were revised.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical problem