

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83922
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF EARLY SUBACUTE STENT THROMBOSIS AFTER PRIMARY PCI IN STEMI PATIENTS
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The content of the manuscript is interesting, but the text has careless expression, the English language needs extension revision and correction , many sentences need rephrasing.</p> <p>The key words has to be written in full words, no abreviations. All abreviations have to be mentioned and explained from the beginning</p> <p>Ex. “disastrous complication” ; failure in anticoagulation ( nor disappointment) ; no correlation instead of no affiliation ; noeatherosclerosis ? ; legitimate patient assessment ? etc.</p> <p>Patients under the age of 25-75 ???</p> <p>References do not match the authors names , have to be checked and corrected, ex. 17 - Laura SM ? ; 20 – Yuzo Kagawa ?</p>	<p>English language of the manuscript has been revised carefully</p> <p>All key words are now in their full forms without abbreviations.Moreover the abbreviations i.e., PIC STEMI are clearly explained in introduction portion.</p> <p>The group of patients included in this study reside in the age range of 25 years to 75 years i.e., young as well as old people.</p> <p>The citations of these references has been corrected.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	