

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83918
Title of the Manuscript:	Updates in different types of keratitis: A simple review article
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors have performed a review about the updates in different types of keratitis. This manuscript is overall very thorough and informative providing useful information on etiology, pathogenesis, and management of various keratitis. I feel that this article could be of interest to readers, however a little extra information would make a better paper. The treatment of bacterial corneal inflammation is not clearly described. What eye drops are mainly used as primary treatment, and what drugs (e.g., fortified vancomycin or ceftazidime, or systemic antibiotics) should be added. Fungal keratitis, eye drops such as caspofungin should be mentioned in the treatment of fungal infection. In the case of viral keratitis, herpes simplex virus and herpes zoster virus should be described separately. Treatment for herpes simplex virus should be described by the indication of treatment. When to start anti-viral eye ointment, systemic anti-viral agent or steroid treatment. The description of this should be accurately stated. Surgical treatment as corneal transplantation should be described separately by PKP or lamellar keratoplasty. In addition, although there is a mention of neurotrophic ulcer in the introduction, it is not seen in the future description, so additional description is needed.	All the suggested correction have been done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	