

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83668
Title of the Manuscript:	Inflammation, Infiltration, Necrosis, Abscess and Nicolau Syndrome After Injection of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs: What is the Reason
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have no comment.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It's better that you describe mechanism of action in NSAID drugs group in step one. Then, describe effect of this drugs in different organs in step two. Also please describe adverse effect in body.2. It's better that you describe about your method in detail.3. It's better that you draw a chart and write your data in chart clearly. It may help to researcher.	<p>1. Thank you for your valuable suggestions. We agree with them and will definitely take them into account in our work. Our article is entitled "Inflammation, Infiltration, Necrosis, Abscess and Nicolau Syndrome After Injection of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs: What is the Reason?" Therefore, the manuscript reflects only postinjection complications, which, in our opinion, are caused by hypertonic activity of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug solutions. As the specified physico-chemical activity underlies only nonspecific local action of drugs in injection sites, the specific action of drugs developing after their absorption does not explain the mechanism of occurrence of local postinjection complications and therefore was not the purpose of our study. Nevertheless, we thank you again for your good wishes. We will certainly take them into account in the future.</p> <p>2. All methods are described in detail in the article, which we cited in our manuscript.</p> <p>3. The relevant tables and charts are contained in the articles cited in our manuscript. We consider it unethical to reuse these illustrations in this manuscript.</p>
Optional/General comments	It's better that be clear different part of article, like method, result. .	Thank you for your suggestion. Relevant information has been set forth previously in previous articles published in the Original Research type. Our article is written as a "Short communication," as we do not claim to be an "Original Research" article at this time.

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	