

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83592
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice towards caffeinated products consumption
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title- Author may add – “Assessment of knowledge-----among -----population” to make it more informative</p> <p>Abstract – Author may kindly limit the words to 300 and make it structured. Lot of grammatical errors observed, author may kindly correct this (highlighted)</p> <p>Key words- repeated words to be avoided</p> <p>Introduction- No subheadings required. It should be precise and describe the rationale of study. Author may start with problem statement and in a flow describe the attitudes in different age groups, it's consequences and impact. Grammatical errors need correction.</p> <p>Aim and objectives – should be written separately</p> <p>Material and Methods- sampling technique not mentioned. How the questionnaire was validated? What about the assessment of knowledge? Author to please clarify. Author has not used any statistical test to find the correlations, author may kindly consider this.</p> <p>Results and Discussion- May be written separately. Description may be given below the table. Many grammatical errors. Discussion may be done separately and sequentially. Intext references may be cited correctly (refer to journal guidelines). More references from recent studies (last 5 years) may be added in place of old ones. Grammatical errors need corrections.</p> <p>Conclusion- may be specific and concrete. It should include the answers to aim and objectives of the study, author may revise this and give important recommendations.</p> <p>References- Author may kindly follow the journal guidelines/ Vancouver style of referencing for citing references- both intext as well as in the end. For e.g. page no. may be written as 56-7 instead of 56-57.</p>	<p>HI Editorial member,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The corrections given by you seems to be corrected in high lighted text by us and we have corrected the corrections as much as we can.• Title has been changed to “Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice towards caffeinated products consumption among general population”.• In introduction part sub headings has been removed.• Aim and objectives have been given separately• In materials and methods, sample size has been given and validation of questionnaire is explained and we have not used any statistical test.• In results and discussion, intext references have been corrected.• Conclusions given has been corelate the aim and objectives.• In References page number has been corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	Many grammatical errors in the manuscript, author may kindly look into this	The grammatical errors has been checked and corrected.
Optional/General comments	Ethical clearance – from the institutional ethical committee, how author has cited reference to this, please clarify.	The number given is the number given in the ethical clearence reference number.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issue, the approval has been grant to our study and the reference nnumber is jkncp/ethics_practice/020pds07.