

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83471
Title of the Manuscript:	Preformulation Study of Glimepiride: An Insight for Formulation and Development of Parenteral Formulation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Legends of each figure could be expanded by adding more details. Currently, most of them are just one sentences and do not provide sufficient information.Unit for Abs (on y-axis) on figure 5 is incorrect.Results and discussion: Discussion of the experimental results could be further explained and if possible, compared with other similar studies.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Legends are expanded as suggested.Units for Abs (on y-axis) on figure 5 is updated.Results and discussion: Discussion of the experimental results explained in detail.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Quality of most of the figures needs to be improved.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Quality of the figures are improved
Optional/General comments	Authors have a done an excellent job conducting several relevant experiments. A Pharmacological study would make this manuscript more robust.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">As the current manuscript deals with preformulation studies. Pharmacological study will be performed on final formulation as per your esteemed suggestion.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	