

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83469
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Job-Related Injuries among Construction Workers in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>When reading the whole study I found some issues detailed below -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Write as it as was observed that instead of it demonstrated 75.20% 2. Introduction: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. In the second paragraph use word selected instead of conducted. 3. Materials and Methods: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) We cannot use I, We, You in any research work. b) The sample collected from 18 to 60 years. It should be categorized as 20 to 40 years, 41 – 60 years. MSI is not same for respondents of 18 years and 60 years. 4. Results: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. In result ... rewrite it as Table1: Distribution of respondents according to prevalence and severity of pain during the last 6 months instead of prevalence and severity of pain during the last 6 months b. Making of Table format is not accurate one. Sample size should be written in upper part of the Table in right side not inside the Table. c. In Table there should be two separate columns for Frequency and Percentage. d. In Table 2. Demographic profile of the respondentsInstead of demographics. e. Interpretation is required in every Table. f. Table Heading and format should be changed. g. In case of Fig heading should be below the Fig. h. In the study participation respondents in sports was calculated and there is no relationshipinstead of we calculated sports participation and found no relationship with injury. i. Rewrite the table heading in Table 3 as distribution of respondents according to job factor association with pain..... instead of Job factors commonly associated with pain. 5. DISCUSSION: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The study provideinstead of my study. b. Response rate showed thatinstead of our response 	<p>All the necessary corrections were done as indicated</p>

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Results: a) Interpretation is required for Table -3.</p> <p>2. Discussion: b) In first paragraph of discussion, last line may be changed.</p> <p>3. References: a. Follow the reference from standard Journals. b. Using both Capital and small letters. It should be small letters.</p>	
Optional/General comments	Acceptable for publication after proper modification with this revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	