

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83450
Title of the Manuscript:	LONG SCARF RELATED INJURIES IN FEMALE BIKE RIDERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The work is scientifically relevant and has the potential to show: What is expected from the title, LONG SCARF RELATED INJURIES IN FEMALE BIKE RIDERS</p> <p>However, the following suggestions are made</p>	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>TITTLE: place of study should be included in the tittle.</p> <p>ABSTRACT: (range 16-55 years. Close the bracket. Include AIM in the abstract RESULTS: result tables should come before result interpretation Table 1 should include all the interpretation detailed in the result. Such as the demographical features, Table 2 should also include the frequency column and the n column FREQUENCY (n), PERCENTAGE (%) use unit and write out the keys at the footnote Keys at the footnote of each table DISCUSSION: femaleattire space out please JPMC, A&E. write it out in full before subsequent abbreviation. consultation.Also space out onfrequency space out CONCLUSION: populationbu space out and let the aim of study reflect in the conclusion.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Okay</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>This review is interesting and has important implications for the human health. It is proper to be published in the journal after revisions</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	