

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83432
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of Celiac Disease in Type 1 Diabetic Children presenting at Tertiary Care People Medical College Hospital Nawabshah Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This is simply a descriptive study of the incidence of celiac disease in children with type 1 diabetes. The criteria for diagnosing celiac disease include pathological findings. I think it merely confirms the observations that have already been made in other populations.</p> <p>The study can not be considered as a cross-sectional study because it was not done <i>at a specific point in time. It would be better to simply characterize it as an observational study.</i></p> <p><i>In many cases there is no correspondence between the numbers of references in the text and the References.</i></p> <p>There are some syntax errors due to the mediocre knowledge of English.</p> <p>In page 1 at results section</p> <p>The age distribution of children does not seem normal. This is why the mean (average) value should be replaced by the median one. Change 1.1.2 to 1:1.2, also the same at results in the text .</p> <p>Page 2, paragraph 1, line 2</p> <p>add abbreviation to Celiac disease (CD) and then replace throughout the article all Celiac disease with CD</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Noted</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	