

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83414
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF ACE INHIBITOR (LISINOPRIL) WITH FOLIC ACID SUPPLEMENTATION IN THE MANAGEMENT OF HYPERTENSION ASSOCIATED WITH HYPERURICEMIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is of interest from the point of view of evaluating the efficacy of ACE inhibitors alone and along with the folic acid on systolic and diastolic blood pressure and serum uric acid level. According to this study is established folic acid boosts the effectiveness of an ACE inhibitor (lisinopril) and has a substantial influence on the lowering of serum uric acid in the therapy of mild to moderate hypertension associated with hyperuricemia. The article is written in good scientific language. It is only necessary to eliminate some spelling mistakes. The experimental data is well statistical treated. The authors can illustrate the received results. The manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor revision.	Minor Corrections has been done. Spelling mistakes also settled down. Remaining the names of drugs and enzymes still underline by red colour because these are medical terminology not an English vocabulary words.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article is written in good scientific language. It is only necessary to eliminate some spelling mistakes. The experimental data is well statistical treated and illustrated. The authors can illustrate the received results. The manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor revision.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	