

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83309
Title of the Manuscript:	BISPHOSPHONATE INDUCED OSTEONECROSIS OF THE JAW IN RENAL SARCIODOSIS – A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) I do not understand the course of hypercalcemia in this case. Please mention it. 2) I do not understand the novelty of this case. Why did you report this case? For instance, is sarcoidosis rear for BIONJ? 3) I could not find the kidney function in the case. 4) In Dicussion, I felt that the part of “BIONJ revised staging system” is unnatural in the context. In your case, which stage? I could not find it.	1)Course of hypercalcemia now added in discussion 2)The aim of this paper is to report a case of BIONJ due to Renal sarcoidosis. Renal sarcoidosis is rare, to highlight the importance of medical history and its importance in management of the patient.To the best of our knowledge this is the first report of BIONJ in Renal sarcoidosis. 3) Neprologist opinion was obtained prior to procedure 4)Mentioned in discussion
Minor REVISION comments	In the sentence, capital forms such as Bisphophonates, Zoledronate, Risedronate, are incorrect. Introduction page 1, line9-10; should be corrected. “Zoledronate” is duplicate, so please delete each of ones. BIONJ is OK? BRONJ (Bisphosphonate related) is normal, I think. Please confirm.	Yes advised changes done BIONJ was the term before MRONJ was proposed
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO