

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_83269 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | COMPARISON BETWEEN OPIOID FREE ANAESTHESIA AND CONVENTIONAL OPIOID BASED ANAESTHESIA FOR PATIENTS UNDERGOING LAPROSCOPIC SURGERIES UNDER GENERAL ANESTHESIA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Methodology: you said opioid free..but you have not used opioid infusion in the opioid group other then in the bolus.So how can it be compared? The primary outcome states hemodynamics...what precisely? | The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of Fentanyl precludes the use of continuous infusion post bolus (2 mcg/kg) for laparoscopic surgeries of mild to moderate pain severity and shorter duration such as those included in our study. However, for the purpose of blinding, saline infusion in opioid group was done. Hemodynamics in the context of this study refers to maintenance of Mean arterial pressure and heart rate. |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | How are you defining ambulation? | Mobilisation and ambulation after surgery was achieved earlier in the lignocaine group (in 5-6 hours). Includes sitting up in the bed and moving with support. |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Kindly do a grammatical review | Grammatical review was done. Suggested and appropriate changes were made. |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | Nil |