

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83204
Title of the Manuscript:	Reforming Teledentistry through Web apps and Internet of Dental Things in COVID-19 pandemic era - An overview of advancements in methods and technology
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: This part should be written under the sub headings of Back ground, objective, method, result and Conclusion. Avoid abbreviations like AI here (better to write it with expanded forms as use of abbreviation under abstract part is not recommended).</p> <p>Introduction: There are reference citation problems (better to cite references in order like, 1,2,3), there are repetition of references under this part which is not supported in principle. Try to show us the gaps which made you plan to carry out research on this area. Furthermore, you are supposed to include the importance of conducting this research to the community, the ways you are narrowing those gaps.</p> <p>Methodology: in addition to the information included here, you should elaborate about area of study, period, design, population, sample size, sampling technique, tools you used to collect the data, analysis and data interpretation, data quality management you followed to control, Ethical issue etc.</p> <p>Result and Discussion: I have not seen any result and discussion parts. With out depicting detail of your finding clearly, how you come up with conclusion? You are researcher, so that you should show us some findings which again are compared with other studies. But I found nothing.</p> <p>Conclusion: Try to conclude based on your specific objectives you were aiming to perform. You should also add recommendation parts and limitations to make your research complete.</p> <p>References: you cited only half of your listed references. You include many references but, you have used some them.??????</p>	<div>Revised</div> <div>Okay</div> <div>Noted</div>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	