

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_83144
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation and Characterisation of RGD-FBG based Nanoscaffolds as Novel Anti-Cancer drug delivery system.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A precise rationale for doing this study is missing which needs to be explained in towards end of the 'Introduction' section.</p> <p>All the 'Tables' and Fig 1 that are reflected in the manuscript are not referred to while explaining the outcome or interpretation of the same in the BODY of the manuscript.</p> <p>The results and discussions are combined with very little emphasis on the discussion part. Suggest the authors to re-write this section bringing the correlation between the existing issues with the plain commercially available drug and how the novel formulation (nano constructs drug delivery) is advantage or will have an edge.</p>	<p>The reviewer's comment has been justified and corrected as per the comments in manuscript, rationale is justified in section 1.2, tables and figures has been referenced in body text.</p> <p>Results and discussions are elaborated.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper is poorly written with a lot of grammatical errors including punctuation marks; first alphabet of many words is in upper case which is not required.</p>	<p>Grammatically corrected and revised excluding errors. Upper case is only stated for chemical, drugs and specific key words.</p>
Optional/General comments		<p>Checked.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues involved.