

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82768
Title of the Manuscript:	Cedecea Lapagei an Extremely Rare Uropathogen: A Case Report and Review of the Literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Background of the abstract section needs to be optimized. As author mentions that only one case has been reported. Authors would make it sure that only one case has been reported before or should modify the statement accordingly.2. Authors entitle this manuscript as case and review of literature. But no considerable literature review is found in manuscript. So, I would suggest authors either to change the title to case report or add some literature review.3. Authors have mentioned name of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> but have not followed the uniform style for writing. Or instance <i>Cedecea lapagei</i> is somewhere written in italics while at other places is not in italics. In my opinion, authors would write the recommended format (names of the organisms in italics).4. Conclusion of the abstract needs to rephrased.5. First 9 lines (that almost makes one paragraph) in the background of manuscript/case report cite only one reference, this is not enough for various types of statements. Authors should add more references like Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences 13 (1), 267. DOI: 10.1080/16878507.2020.1730579 and Open Access Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology 6 (1), 000189, DOI: 10.23880/oajmb-16000189.6. The names of drugs do not appear to to be in uniform formatting. For instance, in case presentation, some antibiotics are written with capitalization rule while others do not follow the capitalization. Uniform formatting in recommended.7. Authors have mentioned uncontrolled high glucose levels in this case, I would suggest to provide the average results of glucose over a certain period such as one month. Or alternatively, authors should provide an evidence of consistent high blood glucose by providing HbA1c of the patient.	Thanks for your valuable effort to help us, your objective and critical review. All points were implemented point by point.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Proofread the manuscript throughout for spacing between words. As at several places the two words are joined together.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As suggested, this has now been corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">2. If possible, authors should provide the all of the pathological reports (including haematology, blood chemistry, microbiology, urine analysis, etc. of the patient in a table.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	case reports are not required for any ethical approval in our institution, and the patient received a written informed consent.