

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82697
Title of the Manuscript:	Protocol on Effects of breast caps on breast engorgement among postnatal mother.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The whole protocol should be rewritten – worse language, no logical sentence continuation</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>Rewrite - language is worse</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>Incomplete sentence – “If engorgement left untreated it can lead to...?”. Sentence missing after Reference 2 in introduction. The details of the previous study need not be in the introduction, it should be in discussion. Primary Outcome: Development of Breast Caps, if possible, it can written as “Development of user compatible Breast Caps”.</p> <p>To enable to study the primary outcome, no information was given on the development process – Methods, how the breast caps were developed, which material was used, etc...</p> <p>Result</p> <p>It doesn't say anything? Or discuss anything?</p>	<p>Corrections are done and highlighted in yellow colour</p> <p>Sentence is completed and highlighted</p> <p>To give the justification and to rationalize the need previous studies are used. It is develop for the first time and then effectivity study will be done</p> <p>Details of breast caps development and material along with specification is given and highlighted</p> <p>Study is yet to done so results figures cannot be given Only the outcome can be written.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Manuscript is rewritten and highlighted with yellow colour
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		NO

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Written consent is taken from each study samples after explaining the intervention in detail. Study sample are free to withdraw from the study at any stage of intervention without giving the reason.