

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82637
Title of the Manuscript:	Revascularization Using Lesion Sterilization and Tissue Repair: A Case Report.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article contains very useful and interesting information, but it also has some major flaws that require revision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-the title of the paper should be more suggestive – it should contain suggestive elements for the contained topic;-question arise concerning the patient informed consent and agreement of the Ethics Commission – please specify the number;-the abbreviations should be explained the first time they appear in the text (MTA, LSTR);- word editing and English language need correction;- numbering of bibliographic references in the text - 4 appears before 3, and reference 10 is not found in the text;- the methodology part requires a more detailed presentation of each stage, until the end: how was the revascularization of the pulp ensured? Was the paste reapplied during the check-ups?- the Introduction part, as well as the Discussion part, should refer to existing studies in the literature on this topic, especially as reviews are available;- the last sentence, before the Conclusions chapter, is unfinished;- half of the bibliographic references are older than 2010, and some of them were accessed in 2008/2009. I recommend consulting some references from the last 5 years and more recently accessing the specialized sites.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All the correction has been done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues