

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82597
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF FAST-DISSOLVING TABLETS - A NEW ERA IN BRAND-NEW DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The abstract and the main body of the work does not tell us the objectives of the review as well as how the author(s) collected the data/ materials used for the review (METHOD).2. The write up was not structured. Please check the journal guideline for review articles and follow suit.3. The work just tells us what has been done by other people. The author(s) should give us their own personal impression of the articles/materials they are reviewing.4. You wrote on the ADVANTAGES OF FAST DISSOLVING TABLETS. I think it's only better if you could also point out it's disadvantages for a balanced view.5. Author(s) should elaborate a bit on the EVALUATION OF FAST DISSOLVING TABLETS. Few lines on each point are not sufficient for a review.	Thank you for your suggestion. Done the desired correction.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	