

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82446
Title of the Manuscript:	Willingness-To-Pay for COVID-19 Vaccine in Low and Middle-Income Countries compared to High-Income Countries : A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Time of study execution should also examine as a factor that may impact the results as pandemic severity perceived differently in different time periods	We agree with the reviewer’s comment about correlation of time of study and WTP value. From this assessment, we found new findings related to the causes of differences in WTP values in several countries. We describe additional analysis about time of study compare to WTP value in our revised Discussion section. (page 8, line 1-10)
Minor REVISION comments	Language should be corrected by a native speaker	Additional assessments related to language have been carried out, and some grammar and spelling have been corrected and marked with yellow highlights.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	This systematic review is carried out by only reviewing documents available online so that it does not involve human or animal objects, so it does not require ethical approval.