

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82272
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Bisphenol A in regulation of arrival of sexual maturity and fertility in male albino rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	All experiments were carefully conducted to make the conclusion. However, previous studies already reported about BPA exposure and testicular development. This work aimed to investigate BPA induced alteration in testicular development and fertility at peri-adolescence, adolescence, and adulthood. Only one point should be asked to the authors, why don't you start to administer BPA in 3 aged such as 40 PND for peri-adolescence, 60 PND for adolescence and 90 PND for adulthood?	Thank you for the kind review, most pubertal changes have been noted to happen in male rats between 42-105 postnatal days, thus experiments were bifurcated into three distinct developmental stages peri-adolescence, adolescence, and adulthood.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please show n=? in the abstract2. Please write the full term of PND in the abstract before abbreviation3. Please make Table 1 concisely presents information	Thank you for the review, Numbers of animals have been clearly mentioned in the text. Full term of PND has now been added in the abstract. Change/s has/have been made in the table 1.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Reviewer's comments were extremely helpful, we have made changes in the manuscript and highlighted texts accordingly.