

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82249
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of Intestinal Parasitic Infestation among Children from Tertiary Care Center in Rural Sindh.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Headings should be write in upper case and numbering also be done as per journal guideline, Citation are not code as per journal guideline throughout Ms, Rewrite journals name in full (References)	All the comments are acknowledged and the corrections are being incorporated accordingly.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Correct all suggestions mentioned in Ms by the reviewer	All the comments are acknowledged and the corrections are being incorporated accordingly.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant and theory based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The methods are generally appropriate. Overall, the result and discussion is clear and complete. The authors make a systematic contribution to the study in this area of investigation. The longitudinal nature of the work, albeit with college students, is a welcome addition. Overall, this is an good quality manuscript that has implications for the theoretical basis. Ms accepted for publication after suggested correction.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	