

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82167
Title of the Manuscript:	Etravirine lipid nanoparticles: Synthesis and validation using specific RP-HPLC technique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>In this manuscript, the authors aim to develop etravirine lipid nanoparticles and validate an analytical method for drug quantification by RP-HPLC.</p> <p>- There are some problems in language and spelling. A throughout checking is recommended.</p> <p>- Unfortunately, I stopped reviewing the manuscript in the materials and methods section as there was too much inconsistency in the text. Authors must thoroughly review the manuscript and resubmit it.</p>	Noted
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>- The abstract needs improvement, especially the results section.</p> <p>- The introduction section is quite confusing. At first, the authors cite several advantages of polymeric nanoparticles, going through analytical techniques, and finally talking about lipid nanoparticles. Please try to better organize these ideas and clarify the text.</p> <p>- Please check the column length: it is 250 mm in the abstract and 150 mm in the materials section.</p> <p>- Preparation of mobile phase: please change autoclaved to degassed.</p> <p>- Methanol is cited as the mobile phase in the abstract, but in the methods section it is acetonitrile.</p>	Okay
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Described above.	Done

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	