

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81962
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge Attitude and Practice of Dental Practitioners towards Palliative Dental Care: A Cross-Sectional Questionnaire Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I did not do a technical and content review of the article as I saw a few important errors.</p> <p>The most important of them are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The similarity rate of the article was well above the acceptable limit. I have attached the relevant document. The article needs serious revision.2. References and citations in the text are not written according to journal rules.3. The main heads and general template of the article were not created according to the journal rules.4. The authors have mentioned the ethics committee in the text, it is more correct to upload the ethics committee permission document to the system. <p>Although the subject studied is interesting, I can evaluate the article in terms of scientific and content after it has undergone some serious revisions and rewritten according to the rules I have stated above. Unfortunately, it is not correct to evaluate it as it is.</p>	<p>Revision made</p> <p>Done revision</p> <p>Corrected</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Yes, This study is a survey study conducted with human participation. The authors mentioned in the text that the ethics report was received. This report should be shared with your journal and recorded.</p>	