

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81874
Title of the Manuscript:	INCIDENCE OF TRAUMATIC ULCER AMONG THE PATIENTS VISITING PRIVATE DENTAL HOSPITAL - RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a descriptive study on the oral ulcers prevalence of traumatic origin in a sample population from India. The possible relation of this prevalence with age or gender is also analyzed. This manuscript does not provide any fresh information or apply innovative techniques. Its only shows prevalence data. The manuscript contains six keywords, five figures, and forty-six references. However, this manuscript requires an extensive revision before being reconsidered for publication in the journal.</p> <p>Specific comments on different sections of the manuscript are made.</p> <p>General comments The manuscript text needs further English language revision. I suggest that it may be useful to have a professional editing service.</p> <p>Keywords The manuscript presents six keywords. For keywords, where possible, please use Medical Subject Headings terms (MeSH Terms). None of them is a MeSH term. Some alternative MeSH terms proposed could be “oral manifestations” better than “oral mucosal lesion”, or “squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck” rather than “squamous cell carcinoma”.</p> <p>"Innovative technique" and "novel method" are terms included as keywords. Which is the innovative technique, or the novel method applied in their study? Humbly, I do not understand the inclusion of these keywords.</p> <p>Introduction According to the journal's guidelines, in the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in square brackets [1], not in parenthesis (1). Please, correct this in the whole document. Abbreviations and acronyms, even well-known, should be explained the first time they are used, e.g. NSAIDs.</p> <p>Please try to explain better the following sentence Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translate into high quality publications (17),(18),(19),(20),(21),(22),(23),(24),(25),(26),(27),(28),(29),(30),(31),(32),(33),(34),(35)</p> <p>Are the nineteen publications above from the authors of this study? In the text, when you list several consecutive reference numbers, indicate the first and last separated by a hyphen, e.g. "[17-35]".</p> <p>Material and Methods What is the meaning of "5,35,951 patients"? What is the number of case sheets considered in your study?</p> <p>Why were not patients younger than 20 years included? In this age group, there is a high prevalence of traumatic oral ulcers. This is a relevant limitation of this study. This age group should be included.</p> <p>Results The sum of the different percentages in age groups (99.5%), gender distribution (99.9%), or ulcers location (99.86%) is not 100%. Please adjust these percentages to sum 100%. Please rewrite the sentence "<i>Males were more incident to traumatic ulcer specifically of 21-30 years of age</i>". Males were not more incident to traumatic ulcers; in any case, traumatic</p>	<p>Complete revision of manuscript is done & necessary corrections done.</p> <p>Keywords are changed.</p> <p>Both the key words are removed.</p> <p>Citation style changed according to the guidelines.</p> <p>expansion of the acronym is given.</p> <p>change in sentence is made.</p> <p>referencing style changed as per instruction</p> <p>the sentence is changed as “The data for the present study were collected by analysing case sheets of 5,35,951 out-patients who had visited Saveetha Dental College from June 2019- February 2021, the case sheets were reviewed and analysed individually.</p> <p>the inclusion criteria is modified accordingly.</p> <p>the sum of the percentage is corrected to get 100%</p>

Review Form 1.6

	<p>ulcers were more frequent in males of 21-30 years.</p> <p>Please, indicate only the real p-value ($p=0.02$) and delete the value that indicates that p is less than 0.05 ($p<0.05$). Please, do the same in the rest of the manuscript.</p> <p>Discussion To make text understanding easier, if the author's name appears in the text, place the reference number immediately after the name, not at the end of the sentence or paragraph.</p> <p>References Total number of manuscript references: 46. References should be checked carefully to transcribe them accurately. In reference number 35, why do you write part of the text all in capital letters? The reference format does not exactly match the journal's instructions about references. The general format for an article proposed by the journal is: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID). An example for your first cite of the reference list could be: 1. Kiyak HA. Does orthodontic treatment affect patients' quality of life? J Dent Educ. 2008;72(8):886–894. DOI: 10.1002/j.0022-0337.2008.72.8.tb04564.x. PMID: 18676797. For further information on this topic, please consult the following link: https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/about/submissions</p> <p>Figures The figures with the bar charts show percentages, Why does each bar include an interval as if indicating the standard deviation?</p>	<p>the sentence is rephrased accordingly</p> <p>change is done as per instruction</p> <p>reference number placed next to the author's name</p> <p>referencing style changed according to journal guidelines.</p> <p>changes in the figure is made</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	