

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81818
Title of the Manuscript:	Pharmacy Health Coaching Intervention Improve Medication Adherence among Drug Addiction Patients at Sambang Lihum Mental Hospital, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study presents a limited sample, without representation of the female gender. It could be a pilot study.	We have added an explanation in the results and discussion section. The absence of a female sample was due to the fact that the majority of drug users are male and the sampling time is only 1 month (January 2020), so that in such a short period of time, female patients have not been found.
Minor REVISION comments	In keywords abbreviations such as ARMS are not put.	We have added the abbreviation in the keyword section. Adherence to Refills and Medication Scale (ARMS)
Optional/General comments	Due to the pandemic, patients who were excluded due to the distance to the hospital center were able to have telephone follow-up, as is done with telemedicine.	We have added an explanation in the results and discussion section. The study was start before the pandemic with a face-to-face concept, so that patients with a distance of more than 100 km would be excluded. We do not immediately apply the telephone method because there is an element of body language communication that is lost during telephone communication. Face-to-face meetings are still preferred to maximize gathering patient modality and increase patients engagement during the follow-up period.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	