

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81799
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF CHRONIC PULPITIS AMONG 13 TO 17 YEAR OLD PEDIATRIC PATIENTS VISITING PRIVATE DENTAL INSTITUTION IN CHENNAI - A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The aim of the study is good, please chech and correct the style of typing and the style of citation.</p> <p>The style of writing can be better</p> <p>The citation can be better write ... Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translate into high quality publications(16),(17),(18),(19),(20),(21),(22),(23),(24),(25),(26),(27),(28),(29),(30),(31),(32),(33),(34),(35)...</p> <p>Figure 4 – is very small...to much information in the picture...unclear</p>	<p>Comment resolved References were modified</p> <p>Size of Figure 4 - enlarged and made clear, as the study's main aim is the prevalence according to each tooth number, removal of data /information may alter the results. Arranging the same percentages in different way made it look more clumsy. Thereby I increased the size of the figure to make it more clear.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	