

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81770
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTI-DIABETIC & ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF PTEROCARPUS SANTALINUS AND STEVIA HERBAL FORMULATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Section of "discussion", paragraph 3 regarding Table 2, figure 6 : Discussion refers the value of percentage inhibition are 0.16%,0.28%,0.42%,0.58%,0.73 but in actual table the value of percentage of inhibition are 0.06%,0.15%,0.20%,0.24%,0.312. Equation on page 5 is not readable. Also, equation should be numbered	Revision made Corrected
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Conclusion is an independent topic and should not be included in ABSTRACT2) Any short form used first time, should have full form and into bracket full form (EG – DPPDH, BHT)3) Citations referred in paper should be like [x]. Not with (x). (Change of bracket type)	Done Done Done
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Multiple citations at one place should be shown as [x-y]. Example: (23,25)(26,27)(28,29)(30,31)(32–34)(35–44) should be shown as [23,25-34]	Corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	